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On August 3, 2020, the U.S. Census Bureau (the Bureau) issued a press release announcing a decision “to accelerate” the 2020 Census. Following the announced schedule acceleration, our office received several Congressional inquiries expressing concern about the expedited schedule. News articles also highlighted these changes, as did former Directors of the U.S. Census Bureau in a joint statement.

In response, beginning on August 12, 2020, we issued requests for information and notices of interviews. This is our management alert on these pressing and emerging issues that we continue to monitor.

In our review of the circumstances surrounding the accelerated 2020 Census schedule, we found the following:

I. The decision to accelerate the Census schedule was not made by the Census Bureau.

II. The accelerated schedule increases the risks to obtaining a complete and accurate 2020 Census.

We are providing a copy of the report for your review. The final report will be publicly posted on OIG’s website on Monday, September 21, 2020.

---

If you have any questions concerning this report, please contact me at (202) 482-4661.

Attachment

cc: Karen Dunn Kelley, Deputy Secretary of Commerce
Dr. Steven Dillingham, Director, U.S. Census Bureau
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Introduction

The U.S. Census Bureau (the Bureau) spent more than a decade planning how it would count the U.S. population in the 2020 Census. In early August 2020, those plans were significantly condensed in a matter of days, despite serious operational interruptions from the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic and warnings from senior Bureau personnel that an accelerated schedule would exacerbate risks. Ultimately, the decision to accelerate the Census schedule was not made by the Bureau.

Following the August 3, 2020, announced schedule acceleration, our office received several Congressional inquiries expressing concern about the expedited schedule. Specifically, we were asked “to closely monitor and assess the implications of operational ‘streamlining’ and changes to the [original post-COVID-19] operational plan for data quality and accuracy.” Our office was also asked to “examine the Bureau’s efforts to uphold the highest standards for data quality and analyze whether a compressed schedule interferes with the Bureau’s ability to ensure data quality.”

Numerous news outlets also highlighted the changes and threats to accuracy from the accelerated schedule. Further, four former Directors of the U.S. Census Bureau issued a joint statement expressing concern over the announced accelerated plan and stating that an end result will be the under-representation of certain populations.

In response, we issued requests for information and notices of interviews beginning on August 12, 2020. This is our management alert on these pressing and emerging issues that we continue to monitor.

---


2 Attached as appendix A.


Background

I. The Office of Inspector General

The Office of Inspector General (OIG) is an independent and objective unit which provides oversight of the programs and operations of the U.S. Department of Commerce (Department), including those of the Bureau.\(^6\) OIG is statutorily entitled to timely access to all records of the Department,\(^7\) and Department employees are obligated to cooperate with OIG.\(^8\) For this management alert, which is part of our ongoing work on the 2020 Census, we reviewed documents from both the Department and the Bureau. We also interviewed senior career Bureau officials working on the 2020 Census, as well as the Director of the Bureau, Dr. Steven Dillingham. We prepared this management alert in alignment with OIG’s quality control standards and the Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency’s *Quality Standards for Federal Offices of Inspector General*,\(^9\) which require that we conduct our work with integrity, objectivity, and independence.

II. Overview of the 2020 Census

The decennial census is mandated by the Constitution of the United States. The Constitution requires the “actual Enumeration” of the “whole number of persons” every 10 years, to provide a basis to apportion representatives in the U.S. House of Representatives among the states.\(^10\) Not only does the decennial census fulfill this critical constitutional role, but census data is used for redistricting Congressional districts, state legislative districts, and school districts.\(^11\) Census data is also used to enforce voting rights and civil rights legislation.\(^12\) Furthermore, the data shapes communities across the country for the next 10 years because the data is key to the appropriation of hundreds of billions of dollars in federal funds every year to local communities, as well as to the decision-making of local governments, businesses, and non-profits who need accurate data to carry out their activities.\(^13\)

---

\(^7\) IG Act, § 6(a)(1)(A).
\(^10\) U.S. Const. art I, § 2 & amend. XIV, § 2.
\(^12\) Id. at 5
Congress set by statute the deadline of December 31, 2020, for the 2020 Census tabulation of total population by states, as required for the apportionment of House representatives.14

To fulfill these duties, Congress delegated responsibility to conduct the decennial census to the Secretary of Commerce (Secretary), who ultimately oversees the Bureau.15 The Bureau is headed by a Director, who is appointed by the President with the advice and consent of the U.S. Senate.16 The Bureau’s 2020 Census Operational Plan was developed over more than a decade, with the stated goal “to count everyone once, only once, and in the right place.”17

The 2020 Census is conducted in two phases. The first phase is data collection—how the Bureau counts persons and acquires characteristic information about those persons. An example of data collection is self-response, during which people respond to the 2020 Census online, by phone, or by mail.18 An additional component of data collection is nonresponse followup (NRFU), where Bureau representatives visit households that have not already responded to the 2020 Census.19 The second phase is data processing—how the Bureau takes the data it collected and converts it into accurate and usable information. This phase is sometimes referred to as “post-processing”20 and must occur after data collection ends. As one senior Bureau official stated, if the data is not collected, “there’s nothing to process.” A few examples of data processing include

- resolving duplicate data,
- repairing missing or conflicting data,
- applying data codes to write-in responses to facilitate data tabulation,
- identifying and resolving potential fraudulent returns,
- identifying the return of record for housing units with multiple returns,21
- ensuring all addresses are reflected in the correct geography, and
- using subject matter reviews to identify errors.

One senior official described the processing stage as “vitally important to the census” and used the following illustration: “the census is a bit like sausage making. A lot of bits and pieces go into it, and they’ve got to be sorted and cleaned and fixed. … [T]hat’s the part of

---

16 Id. § 21(a)(1).
17 2020 Census Operational Plan, sec. 2.1, p. 5.
18 Id. at 208.
20 2020 Census Operational Plan, p. 12.
21 Id. at 132.
the census that … people don’t see.” In sum, to produce a quality 2020 Census, both the data collection and data processing components are critical.
Findings and Conclusion

I. The Decision to Accelerate the Census Schedule Was Not Made by the Census Bureau

On August 3, 2020, the Bureau issued a press release announcing the decision “to accelerate” the 2020 Census.22 The schedule change was not the Bureau’s decision, nor was it the first time the 2020 Census schedule had been changed. Senior officials at the Bureau, including the Director, did not know who ultimately made the decision to accelerate the Census schedule. As a consequence, this management alert does not identify the decision maker. Some Bureau officials speculated the decision came from the Department, while others thought the decision likely came from the White House. However, Bureau officials confirmed that the decision was not the Bureau’s.

A. The Bureau extended the Census schedule in April 2020 to account for the COVID-19 pandemic

In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, the Bureau temporarily suspended certain 2020 Census operations in March 2020. Then, on April 13, 2020, the Department and the Bureau issued a joint statement adjusting the 2020 Census operations.23 The statement said in part:

In order to ensure the completeness and accuracy of the 2020 Census, the Census Bureau is seeking statutory relief from Congress of 120 additional calendar days to deliver final apportionment counts.

Under this plan, the Census Bureau would extend the window for field data collection and self-response to October 31, 2020, which will allow for apportionment counts to be delivered to the President by April 30, 2021, and redistricting data to be delivered to the states no later than July 31, 2021.24

The language in the statement appeared to condition the extension of the data collection phase to October 31, 2020, on obtaining a statutory extension to the apportionment deadline. It noted that the Bureau “would extend the window” for data collection while seeking a 120-day statutory extension to deliver final apportionment counts.

Multiple Bureau officials confirmed that the feasibility of extending the data collection phase to October 31, 2020, was dependent on receiving statutory relief from the apportionment deadline. This is because the data collection and data processing phases

---

24 Id.
of the 2020 Census are sequential. As previously described in the Background section of this management alert, data collection must end before the data can be processed. And the time allotted for data processing cannot be truncated beyond a certain point. If the data collection phase were to extend to October 31, 2020, the time needed for the subsequent data processing phase would extend beyond the December 31, 2020, apportionment deadline, thus requiring an extension of the statutory deadline.

After the April 13, 2020, press release, Bureau officials planned to conduct data collection through October 31, 2020. They did so under the belief that a statutory extension to the apportionment date would be forthcoming because there was no resistance to the extension. One senior Bureau official stated, “we got feedback that both sides of both houses of [C]ongress were supportive.” Bureau personnel also believed that the Department, and specifically the Secretary, were supportive of this approach. In fact, before the April 13 extension, the Bureau planned to request a 90-day extension, but the Secretary said they should ask for a 120-day extension to account for possible unknowns, like natural disasters.

The announced October 31, 2020, deadline for data collection shifted the Bureau’s planned duration for field data collection activities to account for the COVID-19 shutdown. This shift would allow the Bureau to follow the planned operations it had spent a decade developing.

B. It was not the Bureau’s decision to accelerate the 2020 Census schedule

From the publicized schedule extension in April through mid-July of 2020, Bureau officials continued to believe that a statutory extension to the apportionment deadline was forthcoming. Indeed, both the House of Representatives and Senate introduced legislation that would extend the apportionment deadline for the 2020 Census, though only the House of Representatives passed a bill extending this deadline.

By mid-July 2020, several events occurred that led Bureau officials to believe that executive and legislative branch support for a statutory extension may be in doubt. First, the Department began asking Bureau personnel questions about speeding up field operations, although it was not clear to the Bureau if the Department was motivated by accelerating the 2020 Census schedule to satisfy current statutory deadlines or simply completing necessary fieldwork before any further COVID-19 interruptions. Several Bureau officials felt this pressure from the Department. Second, the Bureau did not see continued movement in Congress to extend the statutory deadline. Third, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) informed the Bureau that OMB was requesting supplemental appropriations from Congress for the Bureau, in part to “maintain timely delivery.” The OMB request did not address the schedule extension issue, and OMB’s motivation was unclear to Bureau officials. Fourth, the President issued “Memorandum on Excluding Illegal Aliens From the Apportionment Base Following the 2020 Census”

See, e.g., Fair and Accurate Census Act, H.R. 7034, 116th Cong., § 2; Fair and Accurate Census Act, H.R. 7974, 116th Cong., § 2; Fair and Accurate Census Act, S. 4048, 116th Cong., § 2.

See The Heroes Act, H.R. 6800, 116th Cong., § 70201.
on July 21, 2020. This Presidential Memorandum set forth the Administration’s policy to exclude “illegal aliens” from the 2020 Census calculations that allocate Congressional representatives, and directed the Secretary to take all actions that would allow the President to carry out this policy. As one senior Bureau official told our office, “I think that the Presidential Memorandum had to have played some role in -- in changing … what I would say the [A]dministration’s policy is … on the deadline.” Another official shared that perspective.

Despite these events in mid-July 2020, the Bureau was not told by Department personnel or external stakeholders that the statutory extension was no longer supported. Nor did the Bureau have an operational plan to meet the December 31, 2020, statutory deadline. According to a senior Bureau official, “[W]e had no plan for … accelerating the schedule at that time.” As of mid-July 2020, the Bureau still viewed the statutory extension as necessary in order to conduct the 2020 Census completely and accurately. This view is consistent with previous public statements made by senior Bureau officials that the Bureau would no longer be able to meet the December 31, 2020, statutory deadline.

Then, in the late afternoon of Wednesday, July 29, 2020, a senior Department official told the Bureau to put together options for meeting the apportionment deadline of December 31, 2020, and brief the Secretary on those options on Monday morning, August 3, 2020. Our office heard testimony and reviewed documents demonstrating that the Bureau worked tirelessly over the weekend to analyze its options and devise a plan for meeting the December 31, 2020, apportionment deadline. The Bureau determined that to complete both the data collection and data processing phases by the statutory deadline, it must end field data collection by September 30 instead of October 31, 2020. According to one senior Bureau official:

> [I]f you can’t complete the data collection – the input to the census until the end of October, you can’t deliver those apportionment counts by the legislative requirement of December 31st. You – you can’t do it. There’s not enough time in … November and December to put out a quality product with all of the backend processing that has to happen.

The Bureau’s analysis, termed the “replan,” removed some scheduled operations altogether and streamlined others, creating risks to a complete and accurate count as described below. The Bureau briefed the replan to the Secretary on August 3, 2020, as


28 Id. at §§ 2–3.

requested. It was the impression of a senior Bureau official that if the plan was feasible, it would be adopted. According to Bureau officials at the meeting, the Secretary reacted favorably to the replan and gave the impression that it should be executed. Later that day, the Bureau issued the press release announcing the acceleration of the 2020 Census schedule.30

The decision to accelerate the 2020 Census schedule was not made by the Bureau. Senior career officials at the Bureau perceived that this decision resulted from the Administration no longer supporting the schedule extension, but ultimately they lacked visibility into this decision process. Bureau leaders continued to believe that the statutory extension was preferable, and would give the Bureau the best chance to create a high-quality, usable census. A statutory extension would permit the Bureau to adhere, as closely as practicable, to the 2020 Census plan it developed over a decade instead of the replan it developed over a weekend. However, a senior official acknowledged, “we at the Census Bureau … were bound by the statute … in developing and executing the best possible plan to deliver the results according to the schedule set up by the [C]ongress.”

II. The Accelerated Schedule Increases the Risks to Obtaining a Complete and Accurate 2020 Census

Based on our review of Bureau and Department documents, as well as interviews with senior Bureau officials, we found that the accelerated schedule increases the risks to the accuracy of the 2020 Census. This was the consensus view of the senior Bureau officials we interviewed. The accelerated replan increases risks to both phases of the 2020 Census—i.e., data collection and data processing.

A. The accelerated timeline for data collection increases risk that the Bureau may not collect sufficient data for an accurate and complete count

Under the accelerated replan, the time set aside for NRFU went from approximately 80 days to approximately 56 days.31 Other changes to data collection included reducing certain contact attempts from six to one, such as contact attempts to housing units with conflicting information.

We found that senior Bureau officials believed that the largest risk to data collection posed by the accelerated plan was the decreased time to recover from possible external contingencies affecting local areas or regions. As one senior official put it, there is no “time to spare in the operations anymore.” This risk exists despite efforts to mitigate

31 These numbers are approximate because some NRFU operations were started earlier under the accelerated replan. It should also be noted that the self-response option stays open until the end of data collection.
the condensed schedule, such as awards to enumerators for increased productivity and maximizing the in-use time for devices in the field. One of the biggest threats mentioned was weather—including hurricanes—and the possibility of shutdowns from COVID-19. As one senior official stated, “One of the big concerns right now obviously is whether we can get out of the field by September 30th because, you know, we don’t usually do field work for the census during hurricane season. And ... you do that for a reason.” The senior official continued that “there’s no time in the schedule to recover from a really major storm that affects a large number of ... housing units.” Multiple officials expressed concern about natural disasters that could prevent the completion of data collection by September 30, 2020—i.e., shutdowns in operations or in communities due to COVID-19; wildfires out West; or an earthquake.

The accelerated schedule raises risk besides the potential for natural disasters. As one official explained, the Bureau “no longer [has] the runway” of time to correct discovered errors through re-enumeration, as was necessary in the field portion of the 2010 and 2000 Censuses.

Given this accelerated schedule, the Bureau views “resolving” or “completing” at least 99 percent of housing units in every state, at the end of data collection, to be an acceptable level of accuracy and completeness. “Resolving” or “completing” a housing unit means determining: (1) whether it is in-fact a housing unit; (2) whether it is occupied or vacant; and (3) how many people live there and their basic census characteristics. The 99 percent resolution rate is based on the resolution rates achieved in the fieldwork of the 2010 and 2000 Censuses. Bureau officials expressed confidence that the Bureau could reach the 99 percent figure by the end of data collection.

---

Senior Bureau officials do not know what will occur if the 99 percent target is not met by September 30, 2020. If the goal is not reached by that date, a decision must be made to either continue data collection to meet 99 percent completeness in every state (and achieve an acceptable level of accuracy and completeness) or cease data collection. There are risks either way. If data collection ends before 99 percent completeness is met in every state, the Bureau will not achieve what it views as an acceptable level of accuracy and completeness. But, if data collection extends beyond September 30, 2020, that will either further condense an already compressed schedule for data processing—which carries its own risks—or the Bureau will miss the December 31, 2020, statutory deadline. According to several senior Bureau officials, the Bureau will miss the December 31, 2020, deadline if data collection goes beyond September 30, 2020.

B. The streamlined data processing under the accelerated plan poses a myriad of risks to accuracy and completeness

The accelerated replan announced on August 3, 2020, “streamlined” the data processing component of the 2020 Census. The Bureau determined that to meet the December 31, 2020, deadline, as the Department asked, data processing must begin October 1, 2020. That, in turn, shortened the time that the Bureau had to process the data from 150 days to 90 days. One official described the accelerated processing this way: the Bureau now has “a plan in place that would enable us to get the processing done by December 31st. The plan has taken out some operations and streamlined others. It has an element of increased risk over the plan that we’ve spent the decade designing.” Several senior Bureau officials thought the streamlined data processing portion of the replan posed the greatest risk to the 2020 Census, with one official calling it the Bureau’s “biggest concern” and “the most vulnerable to risk.” One official represented that it was the consensus view of the Bureau’s career staff that the accelerated processing schedule will negatively impact the accuracy of the 2020 Census. The official continued that “[o]nce you start to cut quality assurance programs … you assume that will have a negative impact on accuracy.”

Senior Bureau officials identified several risks in the data processing phase. One risk is that the processing time has been so compressed that if an error is found, and a program needs to be started again, the Bureau may not be able to do so and still meet the December 31, 2020, statutory deadline. As one official explained, “all these changes squeeze out all of the … slack that was in the schedule that is there for a reason.

---

because we often encounter, you know, oddities … problems that need to be solved.” This official gave the following overview, given that the census is only conducted once a decade:

it’s not like a lot of [the Bureau’s] other processes or the data processing where [the Bureau is] doing it all the time …. So the kinks are worked out … we typically have some … instances in … processing a census where some oddities show up in the data or some errors … in coding or something like that, that … requires someone to investigate the problem, diagnose the problem, fix the thing, and then rerun, … to correct the errors … those often are not known beforehand. And so, typically when … we’re done in the field in July and, you know, at worst, early August … that gives us enough time … if there are those problems, to make sure they get fixed … but what we have now for the backend schedule is staff are working weekends, holidays … the schedule is completely crashed. And if you have one of these things … it may be difficult to recover from and keep us on the critical path to getting done by 12/31.

In addition, certain planned data processing reviews have been shortened or removed entirely. One official described the risks from the streamlined processing this way: “the processes … that were … abbreviated or eliminated were things that the Census Bureau had developed and put into plan … because it in fact … makes the census more accurate.” The official continued that those reviewers are people who are “very intimately … knowledgeable of the data, … and not just the data in the sort of a general processing sense, but many of those reviews take place with people who are very close … to the small area data.” Such reviews have in the past helped the Bureau gain geographic accuracy. The senior official warned that while the Bureau does not expect one of the eliminated reviews to find many errors, that review did find errors in the 2000 and 2010 Censuses.

III. Conclusion

The COVID-19 pandemic prevented the Bureau from implementing its decade of planning for the 2020 Census. The April 2020 extension to the 2020 Census schedule acknowledged these realities and attempted to mitigate for lost time. However, we found that when that schedule was subsequently accelerated in August 2020, the decision came from outside the Bureau and further increased the risks to the accuracy and completeness of the 2020 Census.
Inspector General Peggy E. Gustafson  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
Office of the Inspector General  
1401 Constitution Ave. NW  
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Inspector General Gustafson:

I write to express my concerns about the U.S. Census Bureau’s expedited schedule for 2020 Decennial Census data collection and processing operations announced in an August 3, 2020, statement. I am very worried that the rushed schedule will lead to significant problems at the local level, particularly in historically undercounted communities, which puts the accuracy and quality of the Constitutionally-mandated count at risk. Further, I believe that this deviation in schedule is driven not by expert opinions of career Census Bureau employees but by external pressure from the White House and the Department of Commerce for perceived political gain. I request that you investigate whether the decision to expedite operations comports with the statutory requirements under title 13, U.S. Code. I also ask that as the data collection and processing operations unfold, you continue to monitor and assess changes from both the original and April 2020 pandemic-adjusted Decennial Census operational plans and schedules that might impact data quality and, ultimately, the accuracy of the results.

The COVID-19 pandemic has understandably necessitated modification of the original 2020 Decennial Census schedule. The largest and most important field data collection operation, nonresponse followup, was originally scheduled to take place from May 13 to July 31, 2020. However, due to the pandemic, Census Bureau Director Steven Dillingham and Secretary of Commerce Wilbur Ross released a joint statement on April 13, 2020, announcing that the Census Bureau would delay field operations, including nonresponse followup, by three months to protect public health. The release states: “The Census Bureau would extend the window for field data collection and self-response to October 31, 2020.”1 As part of the statement, Secretary Ross and Director Dillingham also requested a four-month delay in the statutory deadlines for reporting apportionment and redistricting counts to no later than April 30, 2021, and July 31, 2021, respectively.


However, over the past several weeks it was widely reported in the press that the Trump Administration was looking to rush 2020 Decennial Census operations in order to allow the Secretary of Commerce to transmit the apportionment counts to the president by December 31, 2020.\(^3\) Then, on August 3, 2020, Director Dillingham announced that field data collection and self-response operations will be shortened by a month, ending on September 30, 2020. I fear that compressing these critical operations will force the Census Bureau to reduce the number of attempts to enumerate households and significantly increase the use of administrative records, proxy interviews and whole household imputations. The greater use of enumeration methods that are known to produce less reliable data could lead to a substantial undercount, especially in historically hard-to-count communities.

Director Dillingham also announced that data processing operations will be “streamlined.” This clearly suggests that quality check, data processing and external review operations, which were originally expected to take about five months, will have to be compressed by several months and curtailed to meet the December 31, 2020, deadline. Given that most data collection operations will occur long after the April 1, 2020, Census Day due to pandemic-related disruptions and delay, careful quality check and data processing activities will be particularly important to ensure that individuals are counted in the correct location and duplicates are removed.

Previous statements from career Census Bureau leadership lead me to believe that this new, rushed schedule is not based on the need to execute a complete and accurate count, but instead on external pressure. For the past several months, Census Bureau experts consistently have made clear that additional time provided by delaying the statutory deadlines is needed for a successful count. In May, Tim Olson, director of field operations for the 2020 Decennial, stated, “We have passed the point where we could even meet the current legislative requirement of Dec. 31... We can’t do that anymore.”\(^4\) In July, Albert Fontenot, Jr., associate director for Decennial Census Programs, said in reference to the statutory deadlines, “We are past the window of being able to get those counts by those dates at this point.”\(^5\) Further, Bureau experts believe that the results of accelerating the data collection operations under current conditions could be disastrous. An unnamed career official stated, “I’m very fearful we’re going to have a massive undercount.”\(^6\)

It is critical that the Census Bureau execute a complete and accurate 2020 Decennial Census for the purposes of apportionment of the House of Representatives, as well as distribution of $1.5 trillion annually in Federal funding to States, localities, individuals and businesses. I request that the Department of Commerce (DOC) Office of Inspector General

---


(OIG) investigate whether compressing data collection and processing operations comports with the relevant statutory requirements, as well as traditional lines of authority for making relevant decisions governing the execution of the Decennial Census. Further, I request that the OIG continue to closely monitor and assess the implications of operational “streamlining” and changes to the April 13, 2020, Decennial Census operational plan for data quality and accuracy. I ask that you report periodically on your findings to the Senate and House Committees on Appropriations, the House Committee on Oversight and Reform and the Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

The OIG plays a crucial role in informing Congress and the public of Census Bureau operations. The OIG has received $3,556,000 in each of fiscal years 2019 and 2020 by transfer from the Census Bureau to carry out investigations and audits related to the 2020 Decennial Census. I appreciate the work of the OIG thus far to help improve the planning, execution and outcome of the Census. I expect DOC employees to fully cooperate and assist the OIG, in this, and all other investigative matters. I request that you keep me and my staff informed of any attempts to prevent you from completing your work in direct contravention of the Inspector General Act of 1978. I look forward to the results of your investigation.

Sincerely,

Jeanne Shaheen
Vice Chair
Subcommittee on Commerce,
Justice, Science and Related Agencies
August 21, 2020

Inspector General Peggy E. Gustafson
U.S. Department of Commerce
1401 Constitution Ave NW
Washington, D.C. 20230

Dear Inspector General Gustafson:

I write to express my deep concerns regarding the Department of Commerce’s conduct around the 2020 Decennial Census operation and potential external pressures to expedite the U.S. Census Bureau’s (“the Bureau”) schedule outside of the recommendations of senior career professional staff. I request the Office of Inspector General (OIG) examine the timing and justification for decisions around the various schedules consistent with your authorities under the Office of Inspector General Act of 1978.

Several actions taken by the Department in the past several weeks raise serious concerns about the integrity and accuracy of the 2020 Census. For example, due to the challenges resulting from the novel coronavirus pandemic in early 2020, the Associate Director of Decennial Census Programs and the Associate Director of Field Operations repeatedly informed congressional staff on weekly phone calls that the Bureau would need to continue its field operations, specifically Non-Response Follow-up, until October 31, 2020 to ensure an accurate count and to ensure the health and safety of those conducting the enumeration as well as the public. Further, these career staff communicated that it was simply no longer possible for the Bureau to finish its operations and report initial results by the December 31, 2020 statutory deadline. However, despite the rising number of coronavirus cases this summer and passage by the House of Representatives of a measure that would allow for statutory deadline extensions, as initially requested by the Department, it is my understanding that the Secretary subsequently requested that the Bureau develop a new plan to comply with statutory deadlines. This request was made only after the

1 The House of Representatives took immediate action and included this request to shift the decennial statutory deadlines as part of the HEROES Act (H.R. 6800, Sec. 70201). Additionally, the HEROES Act included an additional $400 million to allow the Bureau to address any additional issues that may arise through the remainder of the 2020 Decennial Census operation.
arrival of two new political appointees in mid-July and the issuance of the Presidential Memorandum on July 21, 2020. The revised plan abandons the Department’s prior proposal for legislative relief that would result in a four-month delay in the completion of the 2020 Decennial Census. Additionally, as part of this new effort, the Administration communicated a $1 billion supplemental funding request to support this expedited schedule. However, this communication was sent only to Majority staff of the Senate Appropriations Committee, rather than to all relevant House and Senate Appropriations staff.

It appears that in the three months after the House passage of a statutory extension and the arrival of now three new political appointees, rather than working with the Senate to support the legislative action taken by the House, the Administration opted to work on a plan to unnecessarily expedite the Bureau’s revised schedule, against the recommendations of senior career staff.

I would like to further note that the compressed schedule will also force a shortened timeframe for data processing, ultimately cutting that time in half, compared to both the Bureau’s original and initial revised plans. I am gravely concerned that a compressed timeline will greatly impact the quality of data used to ensure an accurate and complete count which will inform congressional apportionments, legislative redistricting, and future Federal spending. It is deeply troubling that this Administration appears to be politicizing the Bureau’s operation – one that was a decade in the making. These actions jeopardize our ability to ensure an accurate count, especially for hard-to-count communities.

I request that the Office of Inspector General review: the process used to arrive at the revised schedule announced on August 3rd, 2020 to ensure the proposed changes were and are absent political considerations, whether these changes were made after intervention from political appointees at the Department or from individuals employed at the White House, and if partisan influence impacted communications with Congress about these proposed changes and associated funding needs. Additionally, I request that the OIG examine the Bureau’s efforts to uphold the highest standards for data quality and analyze whether a compressed schedule interferes with the Bureau’s ability to ensure data quality. Lastly, I request that the OIG determine whether any violations of law, regulation, or policy occurred as a result of actions taken at the Department concerning the 2020 Census since July 1, 2020.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter. I appreciate the work the OIG has done toward ensuring transparency in the 2020 Decennial operation and trust that DOC employees will assist you in your investigation. However, I would ask that you swiftly notify us if there are any attempts to contravene your efforts. I further ask that you periodically update the House and

---

2 Under 13 USC 141(b), the Secretary of Commerce is required to complete and report to the President within 9 months after the decennial census date (defined as the first day of April) the tabulation of the total population by State as required for apportionment of the Representatives in Congress among the several States. The legislation requested by the Administration in early April 2020 extended that deadline for the 2020 Census to 13 months after the census date of April 1, 2020.

Senate Committees on Appropriations, as well as the House Oversight and Reform and Senate Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committees on your findings.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Joes E. Serrano
Chairman
Subcommittee on Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies